

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.17673 of 2020

Date of decision: October 27, 2020

Jagrup Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Midha, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Ashok Kumar Verma, J.(oral)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing on account of outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon a judgment of this court rendered in *Hans Raj Vs. State of Punjab through the Secretary to Government, Department of Agriculture, 2004 (3) SLR 392*. He submits that he will be satisfied if representations dated 4.10.2019 and 15.12.2019 (Annexures P-4 and P-5) submitted by the petitioner are decided by respondent No.2 within a time prescribed by this court.

Mr. Pankaj Midha, Additional Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. He has not raised any objection in this regard and has rather fairly submitted that the representations (Annexures P-4 and P-5) shall be decided by respondent No.2 in accordance with law.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the present

writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representations dated 4.10.2019 and 15.12.2019 (Annexure P-4 and P-5) submitted by the petitioner within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, by passing a speaking order and affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and in view of judgment in *Hans Raj's case (supra)*.

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

October 27, 2020
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable:	Yes / No