

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 34059 of 2020
Date of decision: 28.10.2020.**

Suny Bhardwaj Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Munish Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab, for the respondent.

Mr. Vijay Rana, Advocate, for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 212 dated 06.09.2020, under Sections 323, 324, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' - for short) (Section 307 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Kartarpur, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the incident is the outcome of a sudden quarrel between the neighbours in which minor injuries were found. He also contends that the offence under Section 307 IPC was added after 10 days of the incident.

Heard.

It is manifest that the offence under Section 307 IPC was added on the supplementary statement of the complainant. As per MLR, there was a muscle deep wound on the head of the complainant measuring 4 x 0.2 cm on

the left temporal region. It is alleged that the petitioner had given a spade blow from sharp side on the head of the complainant. In view of serious allegations of having caused injury on the head of the complainant, I do not find it to be a case which would warrant grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

28.10.2020
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No