

In virtual Court

CRM-M-33422-2020

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-33422-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 16.10.2020

Jyoti Manchanda

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Ashish Naik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.96 dated 17.02.2020 under Section 174-A IPC, registered at Police Station Kotwali, Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a complaint was filed by Mahender Bajaj on account of dishonouring of a cheque issued by the petitioner, as he was having dealing with husband of the petitioner. The cheque was for total amount of Rs.15.00 lacs and on different dates, husband of the petitioner has already paid Rs.14.50 lacs and only Rs.50,000/- remains to be paid. It is further submitted that under a bonafide impression that the complainant has withdrawn the complaint, the petitioner could not appear before the trial Court and on account of the fact that the petitioner absented from the Court proceedings, present FIR has been registered. Learned counsel

In virtual Court

CRM-M-33422-2020

-2-

further submits that the petitioner is ready to settle the entire dispute with the complainant within a period of three months from today, by making the balance payment and to face the trial in the present case.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, considering the aforesaid submissions, this petition is disposed of with a direction that the petitioner will approach the Court, where the complaint under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act is pending within a period of 15 days from today and will clear the entire amount within a period of three months.

This will be subject to payment of Rs.15,000/- towards the costs of proceedings, to be deposited with the District Legal Services Authority, Faridabad.

It is made clear that if the petitioner failed to clear the entire amount and the complaint under Section 138 of N.I. Act is not disputed or as satisfied, this petition praying for anticipatory bail shall be deemed to be dismissed.

Disposed of, accordingly.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

16.10.2020
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No