

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

207

CWP-22014-2014

Date of decision: 17.02.2020

BPS, MaHila Vishwavidyalaya and another

.....Petitioners

versus

Surender Singh and another

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Tribhuvan Dahiya, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. R.K. Choudhary, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioners-BPS, Mahila Vishwavidyalaya and another *inter alia* praying for setting aside the award dated 19.05.2014 (Annexure P-7).

The Labour Court vide award dated 19.05.2014, had observed that the reference has been decided in favour of the workman to the effect that he is entitled to reinstatement with continuity of service and 50% back wages.

Learned counsel for the respondent however submits that the workman was actually eager for reinstatement. However, confronted with a judgment passed by the Division Bench of this Court on 01.07.2014 in LPA-890-2014 titled as B.P.S. Mahila Vishwavidyalaya vs. Mukesh Kumar and others, he submits that the compensation granted under the said order may also be granted to the workman.

Learned counsel for the petitioners also refers to the judgment dated 01.07.2014, passed in LPA-890-2014.

This Court in view of the above peculiar facts and circumstances, deems it appropriate to dispose of the present writ petition in the same terms as in judgment dated 01.07.2014, passed in LPA-890-2014. The award under challenge, therefore, would stand modified and the workman shall be entitled to the relief as granted in the judgment/order dated 01.07.2014.

Disposed of accordingly.

Needful be done by the petitioners, to release the said amount, within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

17.02.2020

anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No