

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.33580 of 2020
Date of decision: 26.11.2020.**

Gurbaksh Singh

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab, for the respondent.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 0163 dated 19.07.2018, under Sections 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Kotwali, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there is no *prima facie* material against the petitioner and, therefore, he is entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

Heard.

The allegations against the petitioner are that he along with co-accused is alleged to have duped the complainant and others, who had invested money in his Company assuring interest at the rate of 18% per annum. This is the second petition for anticipatory bail. The first petition was ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn by a Coordinate Bench of this Court by order dated 22.05.2019 passed in CRM-M No. 17910 of 2019. It

has been noticed in the order dated 22.05.2019 that when the Bench was not agreeing to the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, he had sought withdrawal of the petition. In these circumstances, I do not find any ground to take another view at this stage.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

26.11.2020
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No