

Gyan Prakash Wadhwa

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTALPresent: Mr. Shaurya Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Narender S. Behgal, AAG Haryana

Mr. Raman B. Garg, Advocate with
Ms. Gitanjali, Advocate
for respondent No. 3Mr. Anil Shukla, Advocate
for the applicant-respondent No. 4**Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner seeks writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant him promotion w.e.f. notional date and consequently further to promote him to the post of Executive Engineer and thereafter to Superintending Engineer and Chief Engineer. A direction has also been sought to direct the competent authority to decide the representation dated 05.10.2020 (Annexure P-13).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be satisfied in case in the first instance a direction is issued for decision of the representation dated 05.10.2020 (Annexure P-13).

Learned State counsel has no objection in case this partial relief is granted.

Thus, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent

of Urban Local Bodies to consider the representation dated 05.10.2020 (Annexure 0P-13) in accordance with the relevant rules and law on the subject and decide the same by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petitioner be also heard before the representation is decided.

Pending miscellaneous applications also stand disposed of .

December 17, 2020

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No