

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.115

**CRWP-8566-2020
Date of Decision: 19.10.2020**

KRISHMA

...Petitioner

VERSUS

U.T., CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI

Present: Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARCHANA PURI, J.

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing in the light of COVID-19 pandemic.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner for issuance of mandamus thereby directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioner and restrain the respondents No.4 to 7 from interfering in her peaceful life.

As per version of the petitioner, she got married to respondent No.4, Vikram, on 21.05.2017, but no child was born from the said wedlock. However, her matrimonial life was not running smoothly, on account of bad conduct of respondent No.4 towards her and as a result of same, she parted ways with her husband and other family members and is residing as paying guest at Chandigarh. Respondent No.4 is her husband. Respondent No.5 is father-in-law. Respondent No.6 is mother-in-law and respondent No.7 is father of the petitioner, who is also stated to be siding with the private respondents No.4 to 6. Now, as the petitioner was unable to carry on with her matrimonial alliance and she had parted ways, but the same was not

acceptable to the private respondents No.4 to 7 and now she apprehends danger to her life and liberty at their instance. Relating to the same, she has also filed a representation dated 12.10.2020, copy whereof is at Annexure P-2.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. Public Prosecutor, U.T. Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and has assured about initiation of action on the representation dated 12.10.2020.

In view of the submission so made and the assurance so given by the learned State Counsel, the present petition is hereby disposed of with the direction to official respondents No.2 and 3 to consider the grievance of the petitioner, in view of the representation dated 12.10.2020, copy whereof is Annexure P-2 and to initiate action upon the same, in accordance with law, if so required.

However, these observations are circumscribed only for the purposes of disposal of the present petition and shall not be construed as any expression on merits regarding fact of marriage of petitioner with respondent No.4 and the allegations relating to her having parted ways from her husband and other members of her in-laws family.

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

19.10.2020

Himanshu

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

Yes/No