

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-14869-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.02.2020

Rashmi Purohit

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & another

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Raje Ram Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

A short reply on behalf of respondents no.1 and 2 along with Annexure R-1 has been filed in Court today and the same is taken on record. A copy has been furnished to counsel opposite.

Instant writ petition had been preferred by the petitioner raising a claim that the benefit of selection grade of Rs.7500-12000 ought to have been granted to her w.e.f. 1.1.1996 instead of 1.8.2000 when it was actually granted.

After notice of motion having been issued, a short reply on behalf of respondents no.1 and 2 has been filed and placed on record. Appended along with the written statement is a copy of the order dated 5.7.2019 (Annexure R-1) issued by the Secretary to Govt. Haryana, School Education Department and which would show that the petitioner herein has been granted the pay scale/selection grade of Rs.7500-12000 w.e.f. 1.1.1996 notionally and actual arrears have been restricted to 38 months from the date of filing of the writ petition.

In view of the above, nothing survives for adjudication in the instant petition and the same is, accordingly, disposed of as infructuous.

It is, however, observed that in case the financial benefits emanating from the order dated 5.7.2019 (Annexure R-1) have not been released to the petitioner till date, the same be made over without any further delay.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

24.02.2020

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No