IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

218

CRM-M-33610-2020
Date of decision: 11.12.2020

Jaskaran @ Jassi .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab .....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Ms. Sukhpreet Grewal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

****

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioner has filed the present (third) petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular Bail in case FIR No.165 dated 27.12.2017 under Section 376-D and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'the IPC') and Section 6 of the Prevention of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 in Police Station City Nawanshahr, District SBS Nagar to which Sections 384, 363, 366, 342 and 376 of the IPC were added lateron.

His first petition for grant of regular bail was dismissed vide order dated 29.05.2019 passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M-20309-2019 (O&M) and second petition was dismissed as withdrawn by this Court vide order dated 25.08.2020 passed in CRM-M-23972-2020.

Custody certificate has been filed by learned State Counsel through E-mail, print out of which is taken on record.

Learned State Counsel has submitted that the prosecution evidence has been concluded and even statement of accused has been recorded and the case is fixed for defence evidence.

VINOD KOTHIYAL
2020.12.18 15:43
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner does not want to continue with the present petition and the same may be dismissed as withdrawn at this stage but the trial Court be directed to expeditiously conclude the trial of the case.

Dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

The trial Court is directed to expedite conclusion of the trial and dispose of the case expeditiously preferrably within two months from resumption of physical hearing by recording defence evidence by fixing the case on day to day basis as far as possible and by securing presence of the defence witnesses by issuing coercive process, if so required.

A copy of this order be sent to the trial Court concerned for requisite compliance.

11.12.2020 (**ARUN KUMAR TYAGI**)
Kothiyal **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

VINOD KOTHIYAL
2020.12.18 15:43
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document