

215

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.33671 of 2020
Date of Decision: 04.11.2020**

ARASHDEEP SINGH @ BITTU

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Nandan Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Amrinderjit Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the complainant as well his nephew Prabhjot Singh.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.04 dated 23.01.2020, registered under Sections 307, 452, 323, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Mehal Kalan, District Barnala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that it is a case of no injury. Both the parties have settled their grievance

by way of entering into an amicable settlement. Petition bearing CRM-M No.26215 of 2020 has already been filed for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise. In pursuance of the order dated 04.09.2020, both the parties have appeared before the Illaqua Magistrate/trial Court and have got their statements recorded in the context of genuineness of the compromise in question. The aforesaid case is already fixed for 17.11.2020.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant as well as his nephew Prabhjot Singh submitted that the complainant has no objection in case regular bail is granted to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sukhwinder Singh however opposed the compromise for an offence under Section 307 IPC.

Since it is case of no injury and the parties have arrived at some sort of understanding, therefore, at this stage, without adverting to the merits of the case and in view of the situation arising out due to COVID-19 pandemic, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail.

In view of above, petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial

Court/concerned Duty Magistrate.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

November 04, 2020

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No