

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.14677 of 2018
Date of Decision : 24.01.2020

Dr. Anshu Parashar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Mayank Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Anil Mehta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. D.S. Nalwa, Advocate for respondent No.2.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 states that the impugned advertisement having been withdrawn, the writ petition has become infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in view of the statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.2, he does not press the writ petition and same may be disposed of as having become infructuous.

In view of the position as noted above, the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

**(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE**

24.01.2020.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No