

225-3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 33260 of 2020 (O&M)
Decided on: 26.11.2020

Surinder Singh @ Mintu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Simran Jit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.78 dated 02.08.2020, registered under Sections 323, 324, 148, 149 IPC (Sections 325, 326, 307 IPC added subsequently) at Police Station Purana Shalla, District Gurdaspur.

The operative part of the order dated 29.10.2020, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Per FIR allegations, petitioners have been attributed/alleged with committing offences falling under Section 324 of IPC. Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that both the petitioners have been wrongly implicated at the instance of a local politician, due to political rivalry between two groups. Investigation would reveal the truth that the petitioners have caused no injury at all and they are totally innocent and in reality victims of high handedness of high and mighty, he contends.

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

Notice of motion.....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 29.10.2020, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 29.10.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

26.11.2020

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No