

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 16143 of 2017

Date of Decision: February 10, 2020

Ranjit Kaur

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. A.G. Punjab

Mr. Ramanpreet Raju, Advocate for
Mr. R.K. Arya, Advocate
for respondents No. 8 to 11

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Vide order dated 16.08.2019, one last opportunity had been granted to the petitioner to serve respondent No. 12, failing which the writ petition was to be deemed to have been dismissed against the said respondent. No steps have been taken by the petitioner, thus, the writ petition is ordered to be dismissed qua respondent No. 12.

As per report dated 07.06.2018, demarcation has already been carried out and, thus, the grievance of the petitioner stands redressed.

The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

February 10, 2020

*reena***[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No****Whether Reportable : Yes/No**