

Manisha Rani and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTALPresent: Mr. S.K. Bishnoi, Advocate
for the petitioners**Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)**

The petitioners allege that they have performed their marriage against the wishes of their parents and, thus, they fear for their lives and liberty. A representation dated 12.10.2020 (Annexure P-5) has been submitted to respondent No. 2 but he has not taken any action thereupon.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No. 1 to 3 and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed there is no necessity to serve the private respondents or to seek a reply from any one of the respondents.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to take into consideration the request of the petitioners made vide representation dated 12.10.2020 (Annexure P-5) and to provide necessary protection in case the facts of the case so dictate.

It is made clear that this order is not a bar on initiation of any proceedings in accordance with law nor is it an expression of opinion regarding the validity or otherwise of the marriage.

October 16, 2020

*reena***[SUDHIR MITTAL]**
JUDGE**Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No****Whether Reportable : Yes/No**