

119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.8473 of 2020(O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.11.2020**

Silky Bhardwaj

.....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Sumit Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the complaint filed by the petitioner was not entertained by the police and even her statement was recorded by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sangrur. Petitioner seeks protection of her life and liberty from being invaded by the private respondents.

Precisely, for the grievance in question, the petitioner has also moved a representation before respondent No.2 with a copy to respondent No.3.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Balbir Singh Sewak, Addl.,

A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon reply.

At this stage, without meaning anything on the pleadings contained in the present petition, this petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to pass an appropriate order on the representation filed by the petitioner in accordance with law within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

09.11.2020
Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No