

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.106

CRWP-8483-2020

Date of decision:- 16.10.2020

Surbhi Meena and another

..Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ambanshu Sahni, Advocate, for
Mr.Rahul Rampal, Advocate for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners allege that they have performed their marriage against the wishes of their parents and thus, they fear for their lives and liberty. A representation dated 12.10.2020 (Annexure P-4) has been submitted to respondent No.2 but he has not taken any action thereupon.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to serve the private respondents or to seek a reply from any one of the respondents.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take into consideration the request of the petitioners made vide representation dated 12.10.2020 (Annexure P-4) and to provide necessary protection in case the facts of the case so dictate.

It is made clear that this order is not a bar on initiation of any proceedings in accordance with law nor is it an expression of opinion regarding the validity or otherwise of the marriage.

16.10.2020

Ramandeep Singh

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No