

Pinder Kaur @ Aman

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTALPresent: Mr. Pranav Handa, Advocate
for the petitioner**Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)**

The grievance of the petitioner is that she is being harassed by the police at the behest of respondents No. 8 and 9, who actually have the grievance against respondent No. 10. The petitioner has nothing to do with respondent No. 10 but the police is continuing with the harassment. Despite representation dated 08.10.2020 (Annexure P-2) having been submitted before respondent No. 2, no action has been taken.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No. 1 to 3 and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed there is no necessity to serve the private respondents or to seek a reply from any one of the respondents.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3 to consider representation dated 08.10.2020 (Annexure P-2) and take appropriate action in accordance with law, if feel necessary within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

October 16, 2020

*reena***[SUDHIR MITTAL]**
JUDGE**Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No****Whether Reportable : Yes/No**