

Veena Waris

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Ms. Jasneet Mehra, Advocate for
Ms. Sudesh Sahi, Advocate
for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner alleges that she is being harassed by the police at the behest of respondent No. 5 even though the police has concluded in an earlier enquiry that no offence has been committed by the petitioner. A representation dated 29.08.2020 (Annexure P-1) has been submitted before respondent No. 2 but he has failed to act upon it.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No. 1 to 3 and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed there is no necessity to serve the private respondents or to seek a reply from any one of the respondents.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to consider representation dated 29.08.2020 (Annexure P-1) and take appropriate action in accordance with law, if feel necessary within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

October 16, 2020

reena

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No