

CRWP-8398-2020

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8398-2020 (O & M)
Date of decision: 15.12.2020

Satish Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Brijender Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhary, Addl. A. G. Haryana.

Mr. Mohan Singla, Advocate,
for respondent No.7.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

CRM-W-1192-2020

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions. Affidavit
of respondent No.7 alongwith Annexures R-7/3 to R-7/6 is taken on record.

CRM-W-1100-2020

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.
Annexures R-7/1 and R-7/2 are taken on record.

CRWP-8398-2020

The present criminal writ petition has been filed under Article
226/227 of the Constitution for issuance of a writ in the nature of *habeas*
corpus for the release of detenue-Shalu, daughter of the petitioner, who is

CRWP-8398-2020

stated to be in the illegal custody of respondents No.5 to 8.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that respondent No.5 solemnized marriage with Shalu, daughter of the petitioner, on 05.10.2020, but he is not having a good financial status.

An affidavit of respondent No.7 has been placed on record indicating that the family of respondent No.5 is having a house in the village and agricultural land measuring 2½ acre and his son is pursuing graduation in the computer course. It is also stated that respondent No.5 and Shalu are residing happily in the family and in order to show his *bona fide*, respondent No.7 is ready to deposit a sum of Rs.50,000/- in the shape of FDR in the name of Shalu.

During the course of arguments, it is suggested that it is also the bounden duty of the petitioner to deposit some money in the name of his daughter.

In view of the above, petitioner is also directed to deposit a sum of Rs.1 lakh in the shape of FDR in the name his daughter-Shalu. Further, in terms of the willingness of respondent No.7, he shall deposit an amount of Rs.50,000/- in the shape of FDR in the name of Shalu.

So far as the relief claimed in the present petition is concerned, the same has been rendered infructuous in view of the facts and circumstances noticed above.

Disposed of accordingly.

15.12.2020

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No