

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.116

**CWP-17283-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.10.2020**

Puran Mal

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARCHANA PURI, J.(ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing in the light of COVID-19 pandemic.

The present writ petition has been filed in the nature of mandamus, thereby seeking issuance of directions to the respondents to allot GPF account number to the petitioner for subscription of general provident fund considering his case under 'Old Pension Scheme'.

It is the grievance of the petitioner that he was engaged as Class-IV part time employee in the Education Department Haryana on 02.04.1990. Since the day of his appointment, he has been continuously working with the respondents. In pursuance of the regularization instructions/policy of the State regarding regularization of the part time employee, the services of the petitioner were regularized. But, however, he was not given GPF number as he was stated to be not covered under the old pension scheme. Also it is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the case of the petitioner is fully covered by the judgment delivered in CWP No. 2371 of 2010 titled as **Harbans Lal vs. the State of Punjab and others, decided on 31.08.2010**, which is Annexure P-8 as well as CWP

No.1048 of 2016 (O&M) titled as **Jai Bhagwan vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 01.03.2019 (Annexure P-9)**, and also in CWP No.10238 of 2017, titled as **Jeewan Lata vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 10.05.2019 (Annexure P-10)**. It is also submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that even though the petitioner had issued notice through his counsel thereby expressing his grievance of GPF account number not being provided to him but, however, this notice dated 16.03.2020, which is Annexure P-11 has not been considered till date.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that the grievance of the petitioner shall be redressed, which has been forwarded in the form of notice dated 16.03.2020 (Annexure P-11).

In the light of the assurance, so given by learned State Counsel, the present writ petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to give redressal to the grievance of the petitioner, as stated in the petition and also in view of the contents of the notice dated 16.03.2020, which is Annexure P-11 in view of the case law **Harbans Lal's case (supra), Jai Bhagwan's case (supra) and Jeewan Lata's case (supra)**, by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

16.10.2020
dinesh

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking	Yes
Whether reportable?	No