

CRM-M-29495-2019

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM-M-29495-2019
Date of Decision:17.01.2020

Nirmal Singh Bagga

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Atul Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

The petitioner seeks regular bail in FIR No.145 dated 22.10.2015, under Sections 380, 457, 201 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Chattiwind, District Amritsar Rural.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR, rather has been named in the supplementary statement of the complainant. The petitioner being an employee of the Super Market had to visit there.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the prayer made in the present petition. He further states that the movement of petitioner near the lockers has been recorded in the CCTV footage from 18.10.2015 to 20.10.2015. He further states that out of 27 prosecution

witnesses, only 01 witness has been examined.

CRM-M-29495-2019

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been in custody since 09.04.2019. The trial will take time to conclude. No useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

17.01.2020
parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No