

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33464-2020

Date of decision: **29.10.2020**

CHARANJEET KAUR

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Jawandha, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

(Proceedings conducted through video conferencing).

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in a case registered against her vide FIR No.75 dated 1.6.2020 under Sections 341, 323, 148, 149, 307, 302, 188 IPC at Police Station Chhajli, District Sangrur.
2. The FIR was registered at the instance of Amritpal Singh wherein it has been alleged that on 1.6.2020 at about 7:30 a.m. when Mewa Singh, Gurdev Singh, Satnam Singh, Charanjit Kaur, Mangta Singh Satti, Jodha Singh and Kewal Singh were standing near Dharmshala Street, then complainant's uncle Bhola Singh was passing through the street. The said persons attacked Bhola Singh. It is stated that Satnam Singh gave a blow with 'Gandasi' to Bhola hitting on his head, Satti gave a blow with chain on the right side of Bhola Singh's head. When complainant and his

brother Gurdeep Singh tried to rescue him then Kewal Singh gave a blow with stick to complainant's brother Gurdeep Singh. Mangta Singh is alleged to have given a blow with brick to Gurdeep. Kewal is also stated to have caused injury with stick to Gurdeep on his shoulder. Mewa Singh gave a blow with reverse side of '*Gandasi*', which hit in the center of complainant's head while Jodha Singh attacked him with brick. It is further submitted that Gurdev Singh and Charanjeet hurled abuses to them.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that she has falsely been involved in the present case and that even as per FIR no injury is attributed to the petitioner.
4. Opposing the petition, learned State counsel has submitted that since the petitioner is specifically named in the FIR, no case for grant of bail is made out. Learned State counsel upon instructions from Inspector Joginder Singh has however informed that '*Challan*' already stands presented and that the petitioner has been behind bars since last about 4 months.
5. I have considered rival submissions addressed before this Court.
6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and while noticing that the petitioner is a lady and is not attributed any injury and that '*Challan*' already stands presented, further detention of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose as conclusion of trial is likely to take some time. The petition, as such, is accepted and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on her furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to

the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

29.10.2020
Gaurav Sorot

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No