

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

**CWP No.17223 of 2020
Decided on: 15.10.2020**

ASI Baljinder Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Mr. Jasbir Singh Mohri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Abhey Pal Singh Gill, AAG, Punjab.

(The proceedings are being conducted through
video conferencing as per instructions.)

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

The petitioners in the present petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seek directions to the respondents to count the daily wage period as Special Police Officer (SPO) of the petitioners, rendered before their respective regularization as qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits. The same benefit has been granted by this Court in view of judgment dated 31.08.2010 passed in CWP No.2371 of 2010 titled as **Harbans Lal Vs. State of Punjab and others** (Annexure P-4) to similarly situated persons vide order dated 15.02.2018 in CWP No.9936 of 2017 titled as **Sukhjeet Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others**, and order dated 01.04.2019 in CWP No.7127 of 2019, titled as **Jarnail Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others** (Annexure P-7 and P-8).

It is the case of counsel for the petitioners that compliance has been done by the respondent-department vide Annexure P-9 and P-10 and therefore, legal notice dated 08.10.2020 (Annexure P-11) be directed to be decided in the light of the mandate of the said judgment.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Abhey Pal Singh Gill, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

The petitioners are belonging to separate districts and consolidated legal notice dated 08.10.2020 (Annexure P-11) has been served upon the concerned SSPs-respondent Nos.4 to 9.

Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed of with a direction to said respondents to take a decision upon the said legal notice and in case the petitioners are found entitled for the same then pass speaking orders within a period of three months and convey to them. In case, the said benefits are not admissible as such to the petitioners, a detailed reasoned order shall be passed and communicated to them.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of.

15.10.2020
Parveen

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No