

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

117)

CWP no.17057 of 2020

Date of Decision: 14.10.2020

Santra Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ajay Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

All the cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the Covid-19 pandemic.

By this petition, filed under the provisions of Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to regularize her services w.e.f. 27.01.1999/01.02.1999, with all consequential benefits running from that date, or from the dates that the services of her juniors have been regularized.

In respect of her grievance, the petitioner is stated to have got a legal notice issued, dated 01.08.2019 (copy Annexure P-12), which has still not been decided by the respondents (as contended).

That being so, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the aforesaid legal notice, by passing a detailed speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

117) CWP no.17057 of 2020

-2-

If a completely detailed speaking order has already been passed by the competent authority, then only a short order would now be needed to be passed, annexing therewith the detailed order already passed (if any).

14.10.2020
vcgarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No