

228 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.1336 of 2018(O&M)
Date of decision: 14.01.2020

Kirori ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ashok Kumar Verma

Present: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Balkar Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.4-Gram Panchayat

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

The prayer is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus to direct respondents No.1 to 4 to take action on the application dated 08.10.2013 (Annexure P-2) moved by the petitioner for demarcating the land and to evict the private respondents, who are stated to be in illegal possession of the Panchayat land.

Insofar as, the first prayer of the petitioner is concerned, it has become redundant because the land has been demarcated on 06.05.2018 (Annexure R-2/T).

Counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.4 (Gram Panchayat) has submitted that as per his instructions, the private respondents are not in unauthorized possession.

Be that as it may, since the matter pertains to the State of

a petition under Section 7 of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961 (for short 'the Act') for seeking eviction of any person, who is allegedly in unauthorized occupation of the land of Shamlat Deh.

Since the petitioner has already filed a petition under Section 7 of the Act, therefore, the second prayer of the petitioner has also become infructuous.

[RAKESH KUMAR JAIN]
JUDGE

[ASHOK KUMAR VERMA]
JUDGE

14.01.2020
hemlata

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No