

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

220

CRM-M-33054-2020

Date of decision : 27.10.2020.

Dinesh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Bikram Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.214 dated 28.08.2019, under Sections 342, 506 IPC and Sections 4, 12 of the POCSO Act, 2012, registered at Police Station Narwana Sadar, district Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he is not pressing this petition at this stage. The petitioner is in custody for over a year and the statement of the prosecutrix has not been recorded. He also contends that the trial Court has adjourned the case to 02.12.2020 for recording the statement of the prosecutrix and he prays for issuance of a direction to the trial Court to record the statement of the prosecutrix on the next date.

The petition is dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

However, the trial Court is directed to make every endeavour to record the statement of the prosecutrix expeditiously preferably on the next date itself.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

October 27, 2020
sonia gugnani