

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

212

1. Civil Writ Petition No.18451 of 2016
Date of Decision: January 27th, 2020
Payal Rani and others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

2. Civil Writ Petition No.18949 of 2016 (O&M)
Sarabjit Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

3. Civil Writ Petition No.19370 of 2016 (O&M)
Janak Singh and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

215

4. Civil Writ Petition No.25427 of 2016
Ranbir Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Madhav Pokhrel, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CWP No.18451 of 2016).

Mr. Amit Shukla, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CWP No.18949 of 2016).

Mr. Parvesh K. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CWP No.19370 of 2016).

Mr. K.S. Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CWP No.25427 of 2016).

Ms. Anu Pal, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Jasuja, Advocate
for respondent No.3 (in CWP No.19370 of 2016)
for respondent No.4 (in CWP No.18451 of 2016).

Mr. Ashish Rawal, Advocate
for N.C.T.E.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

By this order, I propose to dispose of four writ petitions i.e. CWP Nos.18451, 18949, 19370 and 25427 of 2016, whereby common question of law is involved, where the petitioners are praying for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the names of the petitioners for the post of E.T.T. Teacher in the final selection list as eligible and to select and appoint them on the said post.

2. It is not in dispute that the petitioners have passed their E.T.T. examination from the Singhanian University, Rajasthan, which has not been recognized by the National Council for Teacher Education. This is the ground taken by the respondents for rejecting their candidature.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents has brought to the notice of this Court and produced the copy of the judgment dated 27.04.2017 passed by the coordinate Bench in ***CWP No.51 of 2017*** titled as ***Tara Rani Versus State of Punjab and others***, where, this very issue with regard to the candidates who had passed their E.T.T. examination from Singhanian University, Rajasthan, has been dealt with and the said writ petition has been dismissed.

4. Counsel for the petitioners have sought to raise an issue that Singhanian University is itself an Examination Body and, therefore, the diploma/degree issued by such Examination Body, does not require recognition from National Council for Teacher Education.

5. There are no pleadings to this effect in the writ petitions and, therefore, the said plea cannot be considered in the present cases.

6. In the considered view of this Court, the case of all the petitioners is covered against them by the judgment passed by this Court in *CWP No.51 of 2017* titled as *Tara Rani Versus State of Punjab and others*.

7. These writ petitions are, therefore, dismissed in same terms as in Tara Rani's case (*supra*).

8. In the light of the dismissal of these petitions, pending applications, if any, stand disposed of as infructuous.

January 27th, 2020
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No