

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.19071 of 2019
Date of decision: 18.02.2020

Rinki Jangra ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Raman B. Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harpreet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S. WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Result of the petitioner for the post of LDC against advertisement No.03/2016, category No.03, as has been published by the Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula on its website reveals the petitioner to have secured more marks than the last selected candidate in BCA category. Learned counsel states that in the circumstances no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the writ petition pending and the same may be disposed of by directing the respondents to take follow up action on the result of the petitioner, in accordance with law.

2. Learned Sr. DAG, Haryana states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. In the light of the position as noted above, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents to take follow up action on the result of the petitioner as has been declared by the Haryana Staff Selection Commission, for the post of LDC, against advertisement No.03/2016, under BCA category, in accordance with law.

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

18.02.2020.

rajesh.k.khurana

- | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No. |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes/No. |