

Tarlochan Singh and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Karamjit Singh Maangat, Advocate
for the petitioners

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

According to the contents of the petition, petitioner No. 2 was married with respondent No. 6 although she was in love with petitioner No. 1. The marriage was forced upon her by her parents and when her husband came to know about the relationship she was tortured and humiliated by her husband and in-laws. Thus, she has left the matrimonial home and is currently residing with petitioner No. 1. They, however, fear for their lives and liberty at the hands of the private respondents i.e. husband and his family members. A representation dated 26.09.2020 (Annexure P-3) has been submitted before respondent No. 2 but no action has been taken thereupon till date.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No. 1 to 3 and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed there is no necessity to serve the private respondents or to seek a reply from any one of the respondents.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to take

26.09.2020 (Annexure P-3) and to provide necessary protection in case the facts of the case so dictate.

This order shall however not be deemed to be a bar on any proceedings that may have been initiated in accordance with law.

October 13, 2020

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No