

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(220)

CRM No. M-32575-2020

Date of Decision : 16.10.2020

SUNIL

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

....Respondent

(through video conferencing)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the prayer of the petitioner for the grant of regular bail has been declined by the learned Sessions Judge, Jhajjar on the ground that two material witnesses, namely, Naina Devi, wife of the deceased and Dharmender Tiwari, who was last seen witness, are yet to be examined. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that due to the pandemic of Covid-19, no witness has been examined since April, 2020 onwards and the case is being adjourned time and again.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is not pressing the present application for the grant of bail at this stage but prays that two witnesses, the details of whom have been given hereinabove, be examined as the next two witnesses as early as possible, so that petitioner can pray for the grant of bail again thereafter.

Keeping in view the above, as the main petition for the grant of bail has not been pressed, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to examine Naina Devi, wife of the deceased and Dharmender Tiwari as the next two witnesses as expeditiously as possible without any unnecessary delay.

October 16, 2020
kanchan/naresh.k

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No