

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34634-2020

Date of Decision:09.11.2020

Sonu Singh @ Phuti

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present: Mr.G.S.Hayer, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.SPS Tinna, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

SANT PARKASH, J.

[The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual court.]

Petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in a pending case arising out of FIR No.130 dated 14.12.2017 registered under Sections 379-B, 411 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station, Sadar Moga, District Moga.

The present case has been registered against the petitioner and co-accused on account of snatching of Rs.39,000/- to Rs.40,000/- from complainant-Bhupinder Kumar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 10.02.2018. He further submits that alleged recovery have been effected. He also submits that co-accused have already been granted regular bail by this Court.

Learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioner does not deserve concession of regular bail keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case.

This Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties.

It is not disputed that the petitioner is in custody since 10.02.2018. Other co-accused have already been granted the benefit of regular bail by this Court vide orders dated 25.02.2019 and 22.10.2019 (Annexures P-3 to P-5). Moreover, the alleged recovery has already been effected. Further, final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. stands

CRM-M-34634-2020

presented and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars. Above all, conclusion of the trial will take some time keeping in view the prevailing pandemic situation.

Taking into consideration the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case and without commenting on the merits of the case, it is considered appropriate to release the petitioner on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial court/Duty Magistrate.

The petition is allowed.

09.11.2020
mks

(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes / No
Whether Reportable:	Yes / No