

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANAAT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No. 19002 of 2015

Date of Decision: February 24 , 2020.

M/s Dhaka Construction Company

..... PETITIONER (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... RESPONDENT (s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Arya, A.A.G., Haryana.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

LISA GILL, J.

This writ petition has been filed seeking quashing of order dated 15.05.2015 (Annexure P6) and order dated 19.08.2015 (Annexure P7) passed by respondent No.4-Executive Engineer, Fatehabad directing recovery of ₹89,82,077/- to be made from the petitioner-company.

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that an efficacious alternate remedy is available to the petitioner as per clause 19 of the General Conditions of Contract, which provides for the adjudication of the dispute as the one in hand, by an Empowered Standing Committee subject to deposit of the

stipulated amount.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is amenable to avail the remedy as above after deposit of the stipulated amount.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above, this writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail the remedy/remedies as may be available to it in accordance with law.

Needless to say, in case the stipulated amount is deposited by the petitioner within two weeks, the bar of limitation be not pressed against the petitioner.

February 24 , 2020.
'om'

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No