IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-14375-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.2.2020

Akash Kumar

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Ramandeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate,
for the respondent-HSVP/HUDA.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

*****

RAJAN GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has posed a challenge to the demand notice whereby additional price is sought by the HUDA on account of enhancement in the compensation for development of Sector 78, Urban Estate, Faridabad.

At the outset, learned counsel appearing for HUDA submits that the amount has been recalculated in view of revised policy dated 22.08.2019. *Ipso facto* the demand notice already issued would stand withdrawn.

In view of statement made by learned counsel for HUDA, no cause of action survives in this petition. Same is hereby disposed of.

PARITOSH KUMAR
2020.02.27 14:26
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

Needless to observe that the petitioner shall be at liberty to file afresh in case any grouse subsists after *de novo* exercise is completed by the HUDA.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

February 25, 2020
Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

PARITOSH KUMAR
2020.02.27 14:26
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document