

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32368-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 15.12.2020

Sultan Ali

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN**

Present: Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)**

Case taken up through video conferencing.

This petition for regular bail has been filed by petitioner Sultan Ali, an accused in FIR No.188 dated 04.05.2019, for offences under Sections 379A and 34 IPC, registered with Police Station Rajendra Park, Gurugram.

Briefly stated facts of the case are that on 03.05.2019, while complainant Suraj was standing at Bus Stand Gurugram along with his auto rickshaw bearing No.HR55-AB-9874, then three boys came there and hired his auto rickshaw for going to Dhanwapur Phatak, Gurugram; when the auto rickshaw reached there, those three boys snatched his auto rickshaw, mobile phone and Rs.800/-; on a written complaint submitted by complainant Suraj, formal FIR was registered; the investigation in the case started, during the course of which, Sultan Ali (present petitioner),

Vikas Saini and Habu were nominated as culprits; they were arrested on 06.05.2019 and they suffered disclosure statements, in pursuance of such disclosure statements, petitioner/accused Sultan Ali got recovered snatched auto rickshaw from his possession, whereas, Vikas Saini got recovered snatched mobile phone and Habu Rs.500/- taken away from the complainant; a test identification parade was also got organized, in which the complainant had identified all the three culprits including the present petitioner; after completion of investigation, challan has been filed against the accused and as informed by State counsel, out of 06 PWs, 05 PWs have been examined and statement of only one PW remains to be recorded; the next date of hearing in the trial Court is stated to be 27.01.2021; the petitioner is said to have moved applications for regular bail before the Court of Sessions at Gurugram but was unsuccessful. As such, he has knocked at the door of this Court, craving for grant of regular bail, which request is being opposed by the State counsel.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties besides going through the record.

As informed by the State counsel, the case is at advanced stage and only one PW remains to be examined. It has further been intimated that the petitioner is involved in another criminal case. Under the circumstances, I do not find it proper and appropriate to allow the present petition and grant regular bail to the petitioner. The petition being without any merit stands dismissed accordingly. However, a direction is issued to the trial Court to make earnest efforts to conclude the trial

expeditiously by giving short adjournments, refusing to grant adjournment except for a justifiable reason. Of course, no unnecessary adjournment would be asked on behalf of the accused, if trial is to proceed expeditiously. The necessary exercise be completed preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of copy of order there.

15.12.2020

*sumit.k*

**(H.S. MADAAN)**  
**JUDGE**

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |