

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-2171-2020

Date of Decision: 16.10.2020

Chhattar Pal and another

...Petitioners

vs.

Satish Yadav

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

\*\*\*

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.
2. Prayer in the petition under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for willful and deliberate violation of the order of this Court Annexure P/1 date 05.06.2020, passed in CWP No.7777 of 2020.
3. Learned counsel contends that vide order Annexure P/1 dated 05.06.2020, CWP No.7777 of 2020, was disposed of with a direction to the Land Acquisition Collector, Sector-14, Gurugram, to look into and decide representation dated 25.11.2019, in accordance with law, within eight weeks positively from the date of receipt of copy of the order, but that despite lapse of aforementioned period of time, needful has not been done.
4. Notice to the respondent to show cause as to why proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 be not initiated against him for non-compliance with the orders of this Court Annexure P/1 dated 05.06.2020.

5. Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and on instructions from the respondent states that needful could not be done due to circumstances prevailing on account of Covid-19 pandemic, but in case two weeks more time is granted, representation dated 25.11.2019, would be decided in accordance with the order of this Court Annexure P/1 dated 05.06.2020, and decision taken in respect thereto, would be conveyed to the petitioner, within one week thereafter.

6. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioners who states that in view of the assurance that order Annexure P/1 dated 05.06.2020, passed in CWP No.7777 of 2020 would be complied with in a time bound manner he does not press the contempt petition.

7. Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the Contempt Petition is disposed of as not calling for any orders except directing the respondent to decide representation dated 25.11.2019, in accordance with law, within two weeks from today and to convey the decision taken in respect thereto, to the petitioners within one week thereafter. Needless to mention, in case the needful is not done within the stipulated period of time, the petitioners would be at liberty to move an application for revival of the Contempt Petition.

**(B.S. Walia)**  
**Judge**

16.10.2020

*'Amit-Rajesh'*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No