

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29158-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.02.2020

Manjit Singh @ Mithu and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, DAG, Punjab.
Ms. Sonia Rani, Advocate for respondent No.2.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

CRM-4865-2020

This is an application for impleading Anju Rani-wife, Saloni Rani, Tanu Rani-minor daughters and Sumit Rana-minor son of Jaswinder Singh as party to the present petition. Heard. Allowed subject to all just exceptions. Amended memo of parties has been filed, which be taken on record.

Main Case

Petitioners – Manjit Singh @ Mithu and others have brought the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 161 dated 09.06.2019, for offences under Sections 458, 323, 506 and 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Bhawanigarh, District Sangrur, against them, along with consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise, stated to have been effected between them and

complainant Jaswinder Singh- arrayed as respondent No.2.

When the petition came up for hearing on 11.07.2019, notice of motion was ordered to be issued. Respondent No.2 through Ms. Sonia Rani, Advocate had put in appearance. Then in light of the contention that parties have since effected compromise, they were directed to put in appearance before the Illaqa/Duty Magistrate to get their statements recorded with regard to compromise and was directed to send a report to this Court.

Report has been received from Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sangrur, in terms of which complainant Jaswinder Singh and accused, namely, Manjit Singh @ Mithu, Prince, Kamla Devi, Sonia Rani and Neetu Rana, had appeared there and their statements were recorded, in terms of which they have admitted to have entered into a voluntary compromise, without any coercion or undue pressure. Further, the complainant has stated that he has no objection if the FIR in question is quashed by this Court. There is nothing on record to doubt the genuineness of the compromise so arrived at between the parties. Along with the report statement of the complainant and all the accused, have been annexed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties besides going through the record.

The only injured in this case is Jaswinder Singh. His wife and children are not stated to have received any injury in the incident and the case is at the stage of investigation.

The dispute between the parties has been resolved amicably, which appears to have been arrived at between them voluntarily without any threat or coercion and in terms of ratio of the authority reported as **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and Anr. 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, where in para 28, it has been held as under :-

“The compromise, in a modern society, is the sine qua non of harmony and orderly behaviour. It is the soul of justice and if the power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is used to enhance such a compromise which, in turn, enhances the social amity and reduces friction, then it truly is “finest hour of justice”.”

It has been observed that High Court has power to quash prosecution in order to achieve ends of justice and to prevent abuse of process of law. Though such powers are unlimited but those are to be exercised sparingly and with utmost care and caution. Though there is no statutory bar which can effect the inherent power of High Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

The compromise is in interest of peace and tranquility in the society and for such like reasons this Court can quash the FIR and ancillary proceedings exercising power under Section 482 Cr.P.C., it appears to be a fit case to exercise such powers.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the above said FIR along with ancillary proceedings are hereby quashed.

25.02.2020

sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No