

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32278-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: November 25, 2020
(Heard through VC)

Buta Singh @ Adabdas @ Ram Das and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Harmanjot Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Abhishek Singla, Advocate
for respondent No.2 and 3.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of DDR No. 34 dated 31.10.2019 under Sections 323, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Maur, District Bathinda in FIR No. 163 dated 30.10.2019 registered under Sections 458, 323, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Maur, District Bathinda (Annexure P/1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise.

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant

-respondent No.1 that the accused petitioners attacked the petitioners and gave them blows and caused them injuries. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Talwandi Sabo stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned State Counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, they would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and DDR No. 34 dated 31.10.2019 under Sections 323, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Maur, District Bathinda in FIR No. 163 dated 30.10.2019 registered under Sections 458, 323, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Maur, District Bathinda (Annexure P/1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners herein.

November 25, 2020

seema

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No