

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29169-2019
Date of Decision:13.02.2020

ANKIT SHARMA AND OTHERS	Petitioners
Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR	 Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr.Punit Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.
 Mr.Tanuj Sharma, Asstt.A.G., Haryana.
 Mr.Pawan Singh, Advocate for the respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J.(ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.44 dated 24.03.2016 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 406, 498-A, 506 and 34 of Indian Penal Code registered at Women Police Station, Gurugram and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure P-2).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant- on the allegations that the accused-petitioners gave beatings and turned her out of the matrimonial house for unlawful demands of dowry. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Judicial Magistrate First Class, Gurugram, stating that the

compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from anyone and the same is genuine one. Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 admit to the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.44 dated 24.03.2016 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 406, 498-A, 506 and 34 of Indian Penal Code registered at Women Police Station, Gurugram and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioner herein.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

13.02.2020
raman

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No