

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.2183-LPA-2020 in/and
LPA No.788 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.11.2020**

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

Chirantan Kadian

..... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr. Sardavinder Goyal, Advocate
for the applicant-appellant.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for respondent No.1.

DAYA CHAUDHARY J. (ORAL)

CM No.2183-LPA of 2020

This is an application for condonation of delay of 61 days in filing the present appeal.

In the first paper book, the period of delay is mentioned as 61 days whereas in the second paper book, it is mentioned as 27 days.

Learned counsel for the applicant-appellant submits that the said discrepancy in mentioning the days occurred due to inadvertence and is unintentional and actually, there is a delay of 61 days in filing of the appeal.

Accordingly, after considering the contentions raised by learned counsel for the applicant-appellant and in view of the grounds mentioned in the application, the delay of 61 days in filing of the appeal is condoned.

Application stands disposed of.

LPA No.788 of 2020 (O&M)

On perusal of order dated 08.07.2020 passed by the learned Single Judge, it is apparent that the writ petition has been dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to approach the concerned authorities.

However, learned counsel for the appellant submits that due to the observations made in the second last para in the said order, the claim of the appellant-petitioner is going to be prejudiced in case the representation is made. He further prays that the said observations should not be taken into consideration while deciding the representation intended to be filed by appellant.

As regards, the order dated 08.07.2020 as passed by the learned Single Judge vide which the petition has been ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to approach the concerned authorities, we do not deem it appropriate to interfere with the same as the present appeal is not maintainable against the impugned order as the same is the outcome of the prayer as made by the petitioner, through his counsel, in this regard.

However, the appellant is at liberty to approach the concerned authorities. It is further made clear that the observations made in the impugned order to the effect that there is no impediment for Government

to post any Registrar with the Haryana Veterinary Council, being against the provisions of Indian Veterinary Council Act, 1984 and Haryana Veterinary Council Rules, 1988, will not come in the way while taking action on such representation.

The appeal stands dismissed with the afore-said observation.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

November 06, 2020

rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No