

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**(Heard through VC)**

CRM-M-32176 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: November 18, 2020

Gurlal Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR**

Present:- Mr. A.S. Sekhon, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Harsimranjeet Singh, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

**JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)**

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.104 dated 04.08.2018 registered under Sections 324, 323, 34 of Indian Penal Code (Section 498-A of IPC has been added by deleting Section 324 of IPC later on) at Police Station Sadar Faridkot, District Faridkot (Annexure P/1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise.

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Ramandeep Kaur on the allegations that after her marriage, the accused-petitioners started harassing her for the demand of dowry. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably

compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate at Faridkot, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from anyone and the same is genuine one.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for complainant-respondent No.2 admit to the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble

Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.104 dated 04.08.2018 registered under Sections 324, 323, 34 of Indian Penal Code (Section 498-A of IPC has been added by deleting Section 324 of IPC later on) at Police Station Sadar Faridkot, District Faridkot and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners herein.

**November 18, 2020**  
*vijay saini*

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes  
Yes/No