

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

204

CRM-M-31950 of 2020

Date of decision:16.11.2020

Bikkar Singh @ Bikram Singh

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.K.D.Sachdeva, Advocate,
for the petitioner
Mr.Hittan Nehra, Addl.AG, Punjab
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

All cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the Covid-19 pandemic.

Pursuant to the last order passed, the petitioner is stated to have joined investigation and learned State counsel submits, on instructions from ASI Harjinder Lal, that a recovery of a pistol also having been made from him, presently at least his custodial interrogation is not required.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in fact the matter has been compromised between the parties, it in any case being a case of no injury.

That being so, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, whatsoever, since the investigating agency itself does not require the custodial interrogation of the petitioner, this petition has in fact been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

However, if the petitioners' custodial interrogation is required at any stage hereinafter, in the context of the FIR in question, he would be given 7 days' notice before arrest, duly shown to be served upon him.

16.11.2020
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No