

219 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.32113 of 2020  
Date of Decision:02.12.2020

(through video conferencing)

KULDEEP

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

..Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Goel, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.411 dated 05.10.2017 under Sections 307, 120-B, 34 of IPC 1860 and section 25 of Arms Act 1959 registered at Police Station Beri, District Jhajjar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been in custody since 08.03.2018 in the FIR in question which has been falsely registered against him. It has been further submitted that neither was he named in the FIR in question nor any injuries attributed to him.

Reply filed by learned State counsel is taken on record.

Learned State counsel while opposing the submissions of the counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from ASI-Jaikran has submitted that the petitioner is a man of criminal antecedents as there are couple of

other FIRs registered against him including one under Section 307 IPC. He while referring to the reply filed on behalf of the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Beri, district Jhajjar has submitted that the countrymade weapon which was used in the alleged crime was recovered from the petitioner. He has also submitted that out of the 21 only 10 witnesses cited, have been examined so far and in case the petitioner is enlarged on bail there is every likelihood that the remaining witnesses may be influenced by the petitioner to depose in his favour.

Heard.

In the facts and circumstances of the present case, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of regular bail as *prima facie* there are serious allegations levelled against him in the FIR in question.

Accordingly, the petition is dismissed. However, the trial court is directed to expedite and conclude the trial at the earliest, preferably within four months from today.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

December 02, 2020

*Jyoti-T* Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No Whether Reportable Yes/No