

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

215

CRM-M-31983-2020(O&M)

Date of Order:14.10.2020

Khalid @ Dalla

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Munfaid Khan Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

The petitioner-khalid @ Dalla son of Hamid prays for grant of bail pending trial in a criminal case arising from FIR No.0350, dated 31.07.2020, registered under Section 3/13(1), 8/13(3) of the Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015, at Police Station Nuh, District Nuh.

In a nutshell, the facts of the case have been noticed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, in paragraph 6 of its order dated 05.10.2020, which is extracted as under:-

“Brief facts as mentioned in the complaint are that on 31.07.2020, the complainant HC Krishan Kumar along with other police officials was present near village Ghasera when he received a secret information that the petitioner-accused Khalid @ Dalla along with Khamil son of Dalla were engaged in the business of slaughtering of cows and are selling beef at their house. The place was accordingly raided but on seeing the police party both the accused tried to flee. The co-accused Kamil manage to flee but petitioner- accused

was arrested at the spot. The secret informer identified the petitioner-accused as well as co-accused as being the same persons involved in slaughtering of cows. Ninety skeletons(Ojari) of slaughtered cows along with an axe, knife, weighing scale, weighing stones and wooden block were recovered.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody since 01.08.2020 and he has been falsely implicated. He further contends that on completion of the investigation, the challan has been presented. However, the conclusion of the trial is likely to take time.

Sh. Chetan Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, has opposed the petition on the ground that there is evidence of slaughtering of 90 cows. He further submits that the petitioner is a habitual offender, involved in two more such cases.

After having considered the arguments of learned counsels for the parties and without commenting on merits, particularly keeping in view the fact that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take time, therefore, the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail subject to furnishing of adequate surety to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed with the aforesaid directions.

October 14, 2020
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No