

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101

**CRM-M No.32517 of 2020
Date of Decision: 14.10.2020**

RAJU SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

POONAM

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Janak Raj Bhinder, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner was declared as proclaimed offender/ person vide order dated 22.12.2014. He remained absent from the Court for about 5 ½ years. Evidently the order dated 22.12.2014 has not been assailed by the petitioner in any forum. The factum of compromise has been opposed by learned counsel for the complainant. There is no document available on record to infer any such compromise except a rent deed dated 31.8.2019, which came to be executed after 5 years of declaring the petitioner as proclaimed offender/ person. No indulgence can be granted in favour of the petitioner.

Dismissed.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will surrender before the trial Court and his prayer for regular bail be decided at the earliest.

In the event of surrendering the petitioner before the trial Court and applying for regular bail, the same shall be decided within a period of 7 days.

October 14, 2020

anita

Whether reasoned/speaking

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No