

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32106 of 2020(O&M)

Date of Decision:15.10.2020.

Abhiraj Singh @ Abhi

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr.Lakhwinder Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG., Punjab.

LISA GILL, J.

Petitioner seeks the concession of bail pending trial in FIR No.208 dated 11.10.2019, under Sections 364, 120-B IPC (Sections 365, 342, 346, 109, 329 IPC, added later on), registered at Police Station Lopoke, District Amritsar.

It is submitted that the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties as the FIR in question was registered due to certain misunderstandings. CRM-M-6239 of 2020 has been filed for quashing of the abovesaid FIR as well as consequential proceedings arising out of the FIR. Parties were directed to appear before the learned Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on 03.03.2020 for recording of their statements in respect to the compromise. Statements of the parties regarding the compromise, it is submitted have since been recorded and CRM-M-6239 of 2020, stand adjourned to 12.11.2020 due to the outbreak of the pandemic COVID-2019. It is thus prayed that this petition be allowed.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Gurdeep Singh, verifies that the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties and

statements of the parties in respect to the compromise stand recorded before the learned Illaqa Magistrate/ trial Court

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioner is likely to abscond if released on bail. No useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner incarcerated any longer in the facts and circumstances of this case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits thereof, this petition filed by Abhiraj Singh @ Abhi, is allowed. Petitioner be released on bail pending trial subject to his furnishing requisite bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court.

It is clarified that none of the observations made hereinabove shall be construed to be a reflection on the merits of the case. The same are solely confined for the purpose of decision of the present petition.

15.10.2020
s.khan

[LISA GILL]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether reportable : Yes/No.