

CRWP-8305-2020 (O&M)

Through video conference

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

**CRWP-8305-2020 (O&M).
Decided on: October 13, 2020.**

Ram Sewak and others

.. Petitioners

VERSUS

State of U.T. Chandigarh and others

.. Respondents

*** * ***

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

*** * ***

**PRESENT Mr.Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate,
 for the petitioner.**

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing respondent Nos.2 and 3, who are the Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T. Chandigarh and the SHO, Police Station, Sector 45, Chandigarh, respectively to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that it is a case where the petitioner Nos.1 and 2 are the parents of Sonia – respondent No.2 who got married with respondent No.4 Tinku and

CRWP-8305-2020 (O&M)

thereafter, a matrimonial dispute arose between both of them inter se and even threat to commit suicide was also made by them. He has referred to Annexure P-1, which is the representation which has been sent by the petitioner to the Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T. Chandigarh through registered post and it is stated that no action on the same has been taken by the police.

At this stage, Mr.Lalit Kumar Gupta, APP, U.T. Chandigarh, has caused appearance and has stated that after receiving the advance copy of the petition, the police had visited the house of respondent Nos.1 and 2 and have recorded their statements in this regard and they are in the process of evaluating the threat perception to the petitioners.

Once the police has already started the process of assessing the threat perception of the petitioners, it is necessary that the same is completed and taken to logical conclusion.

In view of above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, to assess the threat perception of the petitioners and thereafter, take further steps, if required, in accordance with law.

October 13, 2020.
raj arora

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No