

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 16455 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 07.10.2020

M/s Smaru Tech LLPPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE.**

Present:- Mr. Govind Goel, Advocate with
Mr. Sourabh Goel, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.
* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)

With the consent of learned counsel for both the parties, the matter is being taken up and heard via video conferencing.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that to redress his grievances, the petitioner has already submitted a letter/representation dated 30.09.2020 (Annexure P-5) before the respondent-authorities but despite that no decision thereon has been taken. He further submits that a direction be issued to the respondent-authorities to consider and decide the aforesaid letter/representation.

Learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondent-State of Haryana submits that the aforesaid letter/representation dated 30.09.2020 (Annexure P-5) sent by the petitioner shall be considered and decided expeditiously.

In view of the aforesaid, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent-authorities to consider and take a decision on the aforesaid letter/representation dated 30.09.2020 (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law at the earliest.

It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and therefore, the respondent-authorities would be at liberty to examine the matter keeping all facts and facets into consideration and thereafter accept or reject the same in accordance with law.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

07.10.2020
ravinder

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No