

210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 31917 of 2020
Date of Decision: 05.11.2020

Navdeep Singh @ Cheema

-Petitioner

Vs

The State of Haryana

-Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, D.A.G., Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C. in case bearing FIR No.486 dated 25.11.2018 under Sections 148, 149, 307, 323, 324, 326, 452, 506 IPC and under Sections 25/54/59 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Kurukshetra University, District Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon order dated 24.07.2020 passed in CRM-M No.17847 of 2020 titled 'Surender @ Sinder vs State of Haryana, order dated 24.08.2020 passed in CRM-M No.23490 of 2020 titled 'Harsh @

Harshit vs State of Haryana' and order dated 04.09.2020 passed in CRM-M No.8514 of 2020 titled 'Mohit Sharma vs State of Haryana' to contend that co-accused have been granted regular bail by this Court.

The role attributed to the petitioner is a danda blow on the left thigh of the complainant. The injury is found to be a blunt injury.

Similarly situated co-accused have been enlarged on regular bail.

Complainant Anil Kumar, his son Saurabh and nephew Deepak have not supported the case of the prosecution while appearing as PW3 to PW5. They have been declared hostile qua the petitioner, Anoop Barwa, Rahul, Mohit, Gulshan, Harsh, Surrender except Ravi @ Gorkha and Nandu.

The aforesaid fact could not be disputed by learned State counsel on instructions from SI Wazir Singh.

For the reasons recorded in the aforesaid cases, the stage of the trial, keeping in view the situation arising out of pandemic COVID-19 and without meaning anything on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. Petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/concerned Duty Magistrate.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

05.11.2020
Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No