

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No. 8061 of 2020 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 07.10.2020**

Raman Khanna

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Manoj Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.
(Presence marked through video conference).

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No.1 to 4 to protect his life and liberty and his family members from the hands of respondent No.5, who has been continuously harassing and pressurizing him to vacate his house No.594, Sector-15, Faridabad and to settle the tenancy dispute because some very high ranking police officer has agreed to purchase his house (regarding which a tenancy dispute is pending before the Appellate Authority/Rent Court at Faridabad.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Rekha Gupta mother of Vandana Gupta, who is owner of the house in question filed a rent petition before the Learned Rent Controller, Faridabad. On the pretext of non-payment of provisional rent, vacation order was passed vide orders dated 22.05.2019 and 30.07.2019 by the Rent Controller, Faridabad. Aggrieved against the aforesaid order, petitioner filed an appeal before the Learned Appellate Authority, Faridabad, which is still pending. He further submits that

landlady has not initiated any execution proceedings in furtherance of vacation orders.

3. In these circumstances, petitioner also submitted a representation dated 10.09.2020 (Annexure P-3) to the Commissioner of Police, Faridabad, but the same has not been adverted till date. Hence, this petition.

4. Heard.

5. Taking into the consideration the admitted averments contained in the petition, it is not a case warranting interference by this Court under extraordinary constitutional jurisdiction.

6. Dismissed.

7. However, it is made clear that since an appeal against the order passed by the Rent Controller is already pending, the petitioner shall not be evicted, except in due course of law.

OCTOBER 07, 2020
vandana

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No