

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

=====

IN VIRTUAL COURT

=====

1. CRM-M No. 31842 of 2020
Date of decision : 15.10.2020

Happy Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

2. CRM-M No. 32162 of 2020

Satnam Singh @ Satti

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present : Mr. N.S. Lucky, Advocate, for the petitioners

Rajbir Sehrawat, J. (Oral)

This order will disposed of above titled two petitions bearing **CRM-M No. 31842 of 2020** and **CRM-M No. 32162 of 2020**, being resulted out of the common FIR.

The present petitions have been filed by the petitioners under Section 439 Cr.P.C for grant of bail pending trial in case FIR No. 385 dated 29.8.2020 registered under Sections 376D, 384, 450, 506 IPC, at Police Station City Barnala, District Barnala.

It is submitted by the counsel for the petitioners that the FIR in these cases had been registered on a mis-understanding arising in a love affair between petitioner Satnam Singh and complainant Sandeep Rani. However, subsequently, the dispute had been settled and petitioner Satnam Singh and complainant Sandeep Rani had performed marriage and they were residing together. Unfortunately, subsequently, the petitioners were arrested in this matter because the FIR had already been registered. Accordingly, it is submitted that no offence is involved in the matter. The petitioners deserve to

be granted the concession of bail.

Notice of motion.

Mr. APS Gill, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State and Ms. G.K. Dulat, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant along with complainant herself, as identified by the counsel.

It is submitted by the counsel for the State that there are allegations against the petitioners. However, it is further submitted that he has got instructions from ASI Jasmail Singh, the Investigating Officer of the case, that the complainant herself has informed the police that she has performed marriage with petitioner Satnam Singh and that she does not want any action against the petitioners.

The counsel for the complainant, being instructed by the complainant, has confirmed the fact that petitioner Satnam Singh has already performed marriage with the complainant and that they were happily residing together; before the arrest of the petitioners.

In view the above, but without commenting any further on the merits of the case, the present petitions are allowed. The petitioners are ordered to be released on bail pending trial subject to their furnishing bail bonds/surety to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of the connected cases.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

15.10.2020

Ashwani

Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Reportable	:	Yes/No